

# HUMAN RESEARCH PROTECTION

## QA/QI activities

Number 199  
Resource type GUIDANCE

Version date 29 JAN 2021

### Definitions

**Quality Improvement (QI):** A working group from the Hastings Center defined QI as: *systematic, data-guided activities designed to bring about immediate improvements in health delivery in particular settings*. Improving the quality of care of patients is a fundamental obligation of health care providers. The QI process involves evaluating and learning from experience.

**Research:** A systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.

**Systematic Investigation:** An activity that is planned in advance and that uses data collection and analysis to answer a question. Although research must include systematic investigation, many non-research activities also include systematic investigation. Systematic investigation does not, in and of itself, define research.

### How does QI differ from research?

Both research and quality improvement are systematic investigations that may involve human participants, but they differ in characteristics such as:

Characteristic	Quality Improvement	Human Subjects Research
<b>Purpose</b>	designed to implement knowledge, assess a process or program as judged by established/accepted standards	designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge
<b>Starting Point</b>	knowledge-seeking is integral to ongoing management system for delivering health care	knowledge-seeking is independent of routine care and intended to answer a question or test a hypothesis
<b>Design</b>	adaptive, iterative design	follows a rigid protocol that remains unchanged throughout the research
<b>Benefits</b>	directly benefits a process, system or program; might or might not benefit patients	might or might not benefit current subjects; intended to benefit future patients
<b>Risks</b>	does not increase risk to patients, with exception of possible patients' privacy or confidentiality of data	may put subjects at risk
<b>Participant Obligation</b>	responsibility to participate as component of care	no obligation of individuals to participate
<b>Endpoint</b>	improve a program, process or system	answer a research question
<b>Analysis</b>	compare program, process or system to established standards	statistically prove or disprove hypothesis
<b>Adoption of Results</b>	results rapidly adopted into local care delivery	little urgency to disseminate results quickly
<b>Publication/Presentation</b>	QI practitioners encouraged to share systematic reporting of insights	investigator obliged to share results

Adapted from A Hastings Center Special Report: The Ethics of Using QI Methods to Improve Health Care Quality and Safety

## Characteristics unrelated to the requirement for IRB approval

- Intent to publish – both QA/QI and research projects may be published
- Process of data collection – both QA/QI and research projects may include prospective or retrospective data collection and may collect data on living/deceased individuals

## Quality improvement presentations/publications

Do not refer to a QA/QI project as *research* in presentations or publications—

- If the project was not submitted to the IRB for a determination, the following statement may be included:

*This project was undertaken as a quality assurance/quality improvement initiative and, as such, does not constitute human subjects research and, therefore, not subject to IRB oversight.*

- If the IRB provided a determination that the project was considered not to be human subjects research, the following statement can be included in the manuscript:

*This quality assurance/quality improvement initiative was reviewed by the Hennepin Healthcare Institutional Review Board and determined that it does not constitute human subjects research and, therefore, not subject to IRB oversight.*

## When is IRB approval needed for QI activities?

IRB approval may be required when QI includes some of the following characteristics:

- the activity seeks to develop new knowledge or validate new treatments rather than to assess the implementation of existing knowledge
- when the methodology employs a standard research design, such as randomization
- when the protocol is fixed with a rigid goal, methodology, population, time period, etc.
- when the funding for the activity comes from the outside organizations such as the NIH or those with a commercial interest in the results
- when there will be a delay in the implementation of results
- when the risks from the intervention to participants are greater than minimal

## Resource Links

Revised Standards for Quality Improvement Reporting Excellence SQUIRE 2.0 Guidelines

<http://squire-statement.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=Page.ViewPage&pageId=471>

A Hastings Center Special Report: The Ethics of Using QI Methods to Improve Health Care Quality and Safety

[https://www.thehastingscenter.org/uploadedFiles/Publications/Special\\_Reports/using\\_qi\\_methods\\_to\\_improve\\_health\\_care\\_quality\\_safety.pdf](https://www.thehastingscenter.org/uploadedFiles/Publications/Special_Reports/using_qi_methods_to_improve_health_care_quality_safety.pdf)

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) IRB website: Quality Improvement vs Research

<https://irb.research.chop.edu/quality-improvement-vs-research>

Ogrinc, G., Nelson, W.A., Adam, S.M. and O'Hara, A.E. An Instrument to Differentiate between Clinical Research and Quality Improvement. IRB: Ethics & Human Research, Vol. 35, No. 5 (2013): 1-8.

<https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/867c/775353701304f268b83896ed115bb9914095.pdf>